Equality Analysis Form

An Equality analysis enables us to target our services, and our budgets, more effectively and understand how they affect all our communities. It also helps us comply with the Equalities Act 2010.

For more information about when you should carry out an equality analysis, who should do this and the support available, go to the equality analysis intranet page.

This form has four sections

1: decide whether a full equality analysis is needed. If not, you do not complete sections 2-4.

- 2: gathering evidence
- 3: determining actions
- 4: decision and next steps

Appendix One – Decision-making process Appendix Two - data broken down by Protected Characteristics



Name of document			Equality Analysis for Environmental Enforcement Policy and Fixed Penalty Notices				
		Date					
		of					
	Date	next					
Version	reviewed	review	Reviewed by	Changes made			

1. Decide whether a full e	quality analysis is needed						
1.1 What are you analysing?							
Question	Guidance	Answer					
What is the name of your change or review?	The change or review may involve:	Proposal to adopt an updated Environmental Enforcement Policy.					
	 o policies, strategies and frameworks o budgets o plans, projects and programmes o staff structures (including outsourcing) o the use of buildings o commissioning (including re-commissioning and de-commissioning) o services (for example, how and where they are delivered) o processes (for example thresholds, eligibility, entitlements, and access criteria) 						
Why are you doing this?	For example, we are considering cutting a service.	There is currently no Council Environmental Policy in place that is sufficiently up to date. The Council has previously agreed to abide by the provisions of the Enforcement Concordat which requires an enforcement policy to be in place although Croydon's was					

	written many years ago and requires revision. In addition Cabinet agreed in February 2013 to implement a six month pilot of the draft Environmental Enforcement Policy and the use of a private sector organisation to issue Fixed Penalty Notices (FPN's) for littering and Dog Control Order offences (principally dog fouling).
What is likely to be different when you have finished?	The model for the use of an external organisation that is being considered is designed to be cost neutral to the Council and works on the basis that the organisation charges the Local Authority against the income that is generated from the FPN's.
	In order to implement this scheme successfully a number of changes to existing Council policy are required including the adoption of a revised draft Council Environmental Enforcement Policy that will be assessed during the pilot, including as regards the Council's public sector equalities duty.
	Changes of policy include ceasing the Council's discounted payment scheme (the discounted scheme) for FPN's issued in respect of littering and dog control order

Who could be affected and how?		
u are not at the beginning stage of your decision makin pleted an equality analysis.		that you have not yet
which equality ana updated. In many i assessment will be being written for a recommends that a takes place, the sa can be updated to progresses. If the include commissio	for the main stages at lyses need to be started or nstances, an equality e started when a report is committee. If that report a project or programme me equality assessment track equality impacts as it project or programme ning or de-commissioning, assessment can be	to write a report to cabine t period and a public ence we are developing this equality analysis.
t will be the main outcomes or efits from making this change?	discharging FP community serv The main outco the adoption of Enforcement Po Council's strate enforcement ag crimes, thereby Council's aims	omes and benefits will be an Environmental olicy that enables the

Who are your internal stakeholders?	For example, groups of council staff, members	Any person (from staff, members, clients and visitors) who is engaged in activities of illegally depositing waste or litter on the street and or allowing their dogs to foul in an area where that is prohibited and or committing other dog control order offences.
Who are your external stakeholders?	For example, groups of service users, service providers, trade unions, community groups and the wider community?	Any person (from service users, service providers, trade unions, community groups, residents and the wider community) who is engaged in activities of illegally depositing waste or litter on the street and or allowing their dogs to foul in an area where that is prohibited and or committing other dog control order offences.
Does your proposed change relate to a service area where there are known or potential equalities issues?	Please answer either "Yes", "Don't know" or "No" and give a brief reason for your response. If you don't know, you may be able to find out on the Croydon Observatory (<u>http://www.croydonobservatory.org/</u>)	No. The enforcement policy is already in existence but needs revising and the offences that are to be dealt with are currently already illegal.
Does your proposed change relate to a service area where there are already local or national equality indicators?	You can find out from the Equality Strategy (http://intranet.croydon.net/corpdept/equalities - cohesion/equalities/docs/equalitiesstrategy12- 16.pdf). Please answer either "Yes", "Don't know" or "No" and give a brief reason for your response	No. There are no local or national equality indicators for this range of activity.

Would your proposed change affect any protected groups more significantly than non-protected groups?	Please answer either "Yes", "Don't know" or "No" and give a brief reason for your response. For a list of protected groups, see Appendix Two.	No. Although there may be some variation in how the different groups are affected, this would not be significant.
Would your proposed change help or hinder the council in eliminating unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation in relation to any of the protected groups?	Please answer either "Yes", "Don't know" or "No" and give a brief reason for your response	No. The proposal to update the existing enforcement policy does not directly influence these areas but the revised Policy will support the Council's Equalities Commitment.
Would your proposed change help or hinder the council in advancing equality of opportunity between people who belong to any protected groups and those who do not?	Please answer either "Yes", "Don't know" or "No" and give a brief reason for your response	No. The proposal to update the existing enforcement policy does not directly influence these areas but the revised Policy will support the Council's Equalities Commitment.
Would your proposed change help or hinder the council in fostering good relations between people who belong to any protected groups and those who do not?	Please answer either "Yes", "Don't know" or "No" and give a brief reason for your response	No. The proposal to update the existing enforcement policy does not directly influence these areas but the revised Policy will support the Council's Equalities Commitment. There may be some potential for a positive impact upon community relations by being seen to be improving areas within the borough.

If you answer "yes" or "don't know" to ANY of the questions in section 1.2, you should undertake a full equality analysis. This is because either you already know that your change or review could have a different/significant impact on protected groups (compared to non-protected groups) or because you don't know whether it will (and it might).

Decision	Guidance	Response
No, further equality analysis is not required	Please state why not and outline the information that you used to make this decision. Statements such as 'no relevance to equality' (without any supporting information) or 'no information is available', could leave the council vulnerable to legal challenge. You must include this statement in any report used in decision making, such as a Cabinet report	The proposal to adopt an updated Environmental Enforcement Policy does not directly affect any protected group as identified by the Equalities Act 2010 and so a further equality analysis is not required. However, upon consideration of other groups of vulnerable people, defined by characteristics that are not covered by the Act, there may potentially be some effect on 'people with low incomes'. Hence, our public consultation feedback, which included a questionnaire and survey, will be analysed to ascertain the impact and this information will be included in the final report to Cabinet. Furthermore as an outcome of the implementation of the Environmental Enforcement Policy will be the issuance of FPNs the Community Safety Service will monitor the issuing of FPNs to ensure that the Policy is being applied fairly and that there is no adverse impact on any particular group.

Yes, further equality analysis is required	 Please state why and outline the information that you used to make this decision. Also indicate when you expect to start your full equality analysis the deadline by which it needs to be completed (for example, the date of submission to Cabinet). where and when you expect to publish this analysis (for example, on the council website). You must include this statement in any report used in decision making, such as a Cabinet report. 	
Officers that must approve this	Name and position	
decision		Date
Report author	Andy Opie	16-12-2013
Director	Anthony Brooks	13-12-2013
director has approved it.	a.equalities@croydon.gov.uk, together with an	email trail showing that the your
1.4 Feedback from the corporate e		
Name of equalities officer	Yvonne Okiyo	
Date received by equalities officer	16.12.13	
Should a full equality analysis be carried out?	 No. The proposal to adopt an updated Environmental Enforcement Policy does not directly affect any protected group as identified by the Equalities Act 2010 and so a further equality analysis is not required. However, upon consideration of other groups of vulnerable people, defined by characteristics that are not covered by the Act, there may potentially be some effect on 'people with low incomes'. Hence, our public consultation feedback, which 	

included a questionnaire and survey, will be analysed to ascertain the impact and this information will be included in the final report to Cabinet.	
--	--

Please send this document to

- the person responsible for making the decision

- democratic services, the corporate programme office or procurement as appropriate in time for the relevant decision making meeting

2. Evidence Considered

List the documents and information that have been considered as part of this review to enable reasonable judgments to be made on the assessment of impact.

This section needs to include consultation data and desktop research (local and national data).

Quantitative Data Qualitative Data

2.1 Analysing Impact

Use the table below plot and identify where there is a potential impact on any of the staff and customers/service users by protected characteristic arising from the change.

The cells of the matrix should be filled in as below:

Key	
0	Indicates where the impact is unknown on Service Users/Staff, This is due to evidence not being available to indicate otherwise (neither positive nor negative impact).
Ρ	Indicates the change may have a potential Positive Impact on Service Users/Staff
N	Indicates the change may have a potential Negative Impact on Service Users/Staff
P/N	Indicates the change may have both Positive and Negative Impacts on Service Users/Staff

An example of the chart filled in below:

			Protected Characteristics								
Services		Age	Disabilit y	Gender Reassignment	Pregnancy and Maternity	Race	Religion and Belief	Sex	Sexual Orientation	Marriage and Civil Partnership	
		Capacity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Availability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Service Design	Continuity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Security	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service Provision		Supplier Management	0	0	О	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Service Level Availability	Ρ	Р	Ο	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Service Catalogue Management	N	P/N	Ο	Ο	0	О	0	0	Ο

Description of Impact – Service User Related						
Service Area	Protected Group	Description of Potential Positive Impact	Description of Potential Negative Impact	Evidence Source		

Description of Impact – Employment Related						
Service Area	Protected Group	Description of Potential Positive Impact	Description of Potential Negative Impact	Evidence Source		

2.2 Is there any evidence missing? If so, how will you gather this missing evidence?

If you do not have all the evidence you need to make an informed decision, talk to your departmental equality lead about practical ways to gather it. For example, if you do not have time to conduct a survey, is there a way can increase your understanding before undertaking more robust research at a later date? Perhaps by meeting with stakeholders. The depth and degree of any consultation or research will be determined by the relevance of the change or review to different groups. Those who are likely to be directly affected should be consulted. Read the corporate public consultation guidelines before you begin (http://intranet.croydon.net/finance/customerservices/public_consultation/default.asp).

If you really cannot gather any useful information in time, then note its absence as a potential negative impact and describe the action you will take to gather it in section 3. Insert new rows as required.

Do not continue onto stage 3 until your departmental equality lead is satisfied that you have gathered all the evidence you need.

Protected Group	Evidence missing	Description of potential negative impact	

3. Determining Actions

The overall potential impact is the likelihood of the impact multiplied by the strength of that impact. The higher the score, the more significant the impact. The tables below identify actions to be taken to minimise negative impacts or maximise positive impacts within the programme.

<u>Key</u>

Likelihood score

- 5 Most certain In more than 80% of the circumstances
- 4 Most likely In 51-80% of circumstances
- 3 Possible In 21-50% of circumstances
- 2 Unlikely In 6-20% of circumstances
- 1 Rare In 5% of circumstances or less

Strength score	Degree of impact	Proportion of protected groups affected
5	Very great impact	Several protected groups in more than one category (e.g. religion and gender) would be differently affected (compared to non-protected groups).
4	Great impact	Several protected groups in one category (e.g. religion) would be differently affected (compared to non-protected groups)
3	Some impact	All of one protected group would be differently affected (compared to non- protected groups)
2	Little impact	The majority of one protected group would be differently affected (compared to non-protected groups)
1	Minimal impact	A minority of one protected group would be differently affected (compared to non-protected groups).

3.1 Mi	3.1 Minimising Potential Negative Impacts							
Ref	Protected Group	Potential Negative Impact	Likelihoo d Score	Strength Score	Overall Impact Score	Action	Action Owner	Date Action will be completed

3.1 N	3.1 Maximising Positive Impacts							
Ref	Protected Group	Potential Negative Impact	Likelihoo d Score	Strength Score	Overall Impact Score	Action	Action Owner	Date Action will be completed

4. Decisions

4.1 Based on the information in sections 1-3, what are you going to do?

Decision	Definition	Yes/no	
We will not make any major change to our project because it already includes all appropriate actions	Our assessment shows that there is no potential for discrimination, harassment or victimisation and that our project already includes all appropriate actions to advance equality and foster good relations between groups.		
We will adjust our project	We have identified opportunities to lessen the impact of discrimination, harassment or victimisation and better advance equality and foster good relations between groups through our project. We are going to take action to change our project to make sure these opportunities are realised.		
We will continue our project as planned because it will be within the law	We have identified opportunities to lessen the impact of discrimination, harassment or victimisation and better advance equality and foster good relations between groups through your project. However, we are not planning to implement them as we are satisfied that our project will not lead to unlawful discrimination and there are justifiable reasons to continue as planned.		
We will stop our project	Ve will stop our project Our project would have adverse effects on one or more protected groups that are not justified and cannot be lessened. It would lead to unlawful discrimination and must not go ahead.		
4.2 Next steps			
You may find it useful to consult Ap	pendix One before completing this section.		
Does this analysis have to be considered at a scheduled meeting?	If so, please give the name and date of the meeting.		
When and where will this equality analysis be published?An equality analysis should be published alongside the policy or decision it is part of. As well as this, the equality assessment could be made available			

externally at various points of policy development. This will often mean publishing your analysis before the policy is finalised, thereby enabling people to engage with you on your findings.	
 Please state at what stage of your project you will do this and when you expect this update to take place. If you are not planning to update this analysis, say why not.	

4.3 I confirm that the information in sections 1 - 4 is accurate, comprehensive and up-to-date

Officers that must approve this decision	Name and position	Date
Report author		
Director of Corporate Services		

Email this completed form to data.equalities@croydon.gov.uk, together with an email trail showing that the director is satisfied with it.

4.4 Feedback from the corporate equalities team

Name of equalities officer				
Date received by equalities team	Please send an acknowledgement			
Feedback on decision				
Please send this to the report author and democratic services, corporate programme office and procurement team as appropriate				

Appendix one: decision making processes

You may only need to develop one equality analysis, updating it as you move from proposing the change to monitoring its implementation.

In many instances, an equality assessment will be started when a report is being written for a committee. If that report recommends that a project or programme takes place, the same equality assessment can be updated to track equality impacts as it progresses. If the project or programme includes commissioning or de-commissioning, the same equality assessment can be updated again.

Budget setting

For department budget setting, check that each line will have already have appropriate equality analysis under one of the other decision making processes. The corporate budget will be covered under the process for the report to full council.

How to use this table

This table outlines the key council decision making processes. Select the process on the top row that you are currently involved in, then read down the column to find out what to do when.

Decision making process	Report to committee, cabinet or full council	Project management	Programme	Commissioning
Decision making process		Project management	management	Commissioning
Key contact	Solomon Agutu	Tony Snook	Tony Snook	Dawn Jolley
	Report Writing			
	Instructions and	Corporate Programme	Corporate Programme	
Link to process	<u>Templates</u>	Office (CPO)	Office (CPO)	Procurement Board
Develop section one of the	When you start writing			When you start writing
equality analysis	your report	Business case	Gateway 1/2	When you start writing
Develop full equality	Before you submit your	Project initiation		your procurement strategy
analysis	report to CMT	document	Gateway 3	report
	When full council,			If the award report goes to
	cabinet or committee			Corporate Services
	decision made or at key			Committee and as part of
Revise full equality	stages in any action plan	At the end of each	At then end of each	contract monitoring
analysis	included in the report	project stage	tranche	schedule
	At the final stage of any			
Write final full equality	action plan included in			
analysis	the report	Post project review	Gateway 6	Final monitoring stage

Who to send the equality	Corporate equality team	Corporate equality team	Corporate equality team	Corporate equality team
analysis to	and democratic services	and project team	and programme team	and procurement team

Appendix two: data broken down by Protected Characteristics The information below is taken from the 2011 census unless otherwise indicated.				
0-4 years	27,972	7.7%		
5-7 years	14,388	4.0%		
8-9 years	8,708	2.4%		
10-14 years	23,130	6.4%		
15 years	4,912	1.4%		
16-17 years	9,934	2.7%		
18-19 years	8,720	2.4%		
20-24 years	23,591	6.4%		
25 - 29 years	27,692	7.6%		
30-44 years	82,439	22.7%		
45-59 years	70,488	19.4%		
60-64 years	17,029	4.7%		
65-74 years	23,155	6.4%		
75-84 years	15,318	4.2%		
85-89 years	3,881	1.1%		
Over 90 years	2,021	0.6%		
People with long term illnesses or disabilities	363,378			
Blind or visually impaired	These categorie	These categories were not		
Deaf or hearing impaired	recorded as suc	recorded as such in the 2011		
Other communication impairment		census. However, this did record		
Mobility impairment		that there were 24,380 people		
Learning difficulty or disability		(6.7%) whose day to day activities		
Mental health condition		were limited a lot by long term		
HIV, multiple sclerosis or cancer	illness or disabil	illness or disability and 28,733		

Other (please specify)			
	(7.9%) whose da	(7.9%) whose day to day activities	
Gender	were limited a lit	were limited a little (Office of	
Male	176,224	48.5%	
Female	187,154	51.5%	
Ethnicity	Number of	Percentage	
	people		
White British	171,740	47.3%	
White Irish	5,369	1.5%	
White Gypsy or Irish Traveller	234	0.1%	
Other White background	22,852	6.3%	
Black African	28,981	8.0%	
Black Caribbean	31,320	8.6%	
Other Black background	12,955	3.6%	
Bangladeshi	2,570	0.7%	
Chinese	3,925	1.1%	
Indian	24,660	6.8%	
Pakistani	10,865	3.0%	
Other Asian background	17,607	4.8%	
Mixed White and Black Caribbean	9,650	2.7%	
Mixed White and Black African	3,279	0.9%	
Mixed White and Asian	5,140	1.4%	
Other Mixed background	5,826	1.6%	
Arab	1,701	0.5%	
Other ethnic group (please specify)	4,704	1.3%	
Religion	Number of	Percentage	
	people		
Buddhist	2,381	0.70%	
Christian	205,022	56.40%	
Hindu	21,739	6.00%	
Jewish	709	0.20%	
Muslim	29,513	8.10%	

1,450	0.40%			
72,654	20.00%			
2,153	0.60%			
There are no figures from the 2011 census. However, it is estimated that there were 20,370 lesbians, gay men, bisexual and transgender people living in Croydon in 2001. (London LGBT)				
		See above		
These categories were not				
recorded as such in the 2011 census. However, there were 5,720 live births in 2011 (Office of National Statistics)				
Marriage or civil partnership				
122,013	42.9%			
796	0.3%			
	72,654 2,153 There are no fig census. However that there were 2 gay men, bisexue people living in 0 (London LGBT) See above These categorie recorded as suc census. However live births in 201 National Statistic 122,013			